



North Bonneville Workshop Agenda
May 22nd, 2018
6:30 p.m.

Council Workshop

Workshop Meeting Call to Order 6:29 pm

Roll Call- Present; Mayor Sabo, councilmembers J. Acton, T. Norris, M. Lee, J. Whitcomb. Absent; T. Randall

Unfinished Business

New Business-

- Discussion on Complete the Streets Crosswalk project

B. Sabo – Crosswalk originally planned to cross to Chevron property and would need an easement. Planners are thinking that a better idea would be a 4-way stop at the City entrance with the crosswalk moved over to land on City property instead. T. Norris- I don't like the 4-way stop but see the advantages. B. Sabo – we have trouble with people not stopping and speeding through there, and this would make them have to slow down and stop. J. Acton – good idea, it is difficult pulling out of Chevron with the cars speeding through. T. Jermann – 4 way stop would definitely slow them down. B. Sabo – Summer is here, there's lots of kids out and almost out of school. T. Norris – even with a cop at Chevron, I watched people roll right through the stop. B. Sabo – hard to enforce with no stop stripe. M. Lee – why didn't they paint that when they did the parking lot? D. Adams – Public Works will be repainting stripes and crosswalks throughout the summer, the paint is expensive so it needed to be spread out. I think the bushes need to be trimmed as well for better visibility leaving Chevron parking lot. T. Jermann – that is city property and our responsibility. B. Sabo – we'll talk about it more, just think about it.

- Discussion on changing allowable dogs to three

M. Lee – we've already discussed this. D. Adams – we're bringing it up again because we've been told that new people who moved in were never told and came in with more than two dogs. M. Lee- Nothing will change by changing to three except people will get more dogs. Can't we just make exceptions for existing residents and go ahead and license them. T. Jermann – allowing some people to break the law and others not isn't a good idea. M. Lee - A neighbor told me that she has 4 dogs, but doesn't get them licensed because they haven't even had shots. We can't enforce it anyway. Cat's are a bigger problem than dogs. Don Stevens from audience- that's why we have a kennel license. T. Jermann – but the code to allow a kennel wouldn't apply because you have to be at least 500 feet from other residences and there's just not that kind of space here. J. Acton – I have a bigger problem with the cats coming in my house when I'm just opening up to get fresh air. B. Sabo – up to you guys. M. Lee- I think we should just go ahead and license all if they have their shot paperwork. The ordinance has said two forever. I just think we should license if they have everything and are making an attempt. T. Norris – not making an exception, what about just being flexible? If responsible, not roaming, licensed, then allow more? M. lee

– it’s a fine line. It just seems that if they are making an attempt to license, we should just let them and let them know that when they are down to two, they need to stay at two. T. Randall – we just need to start issuing fines.

- Discussion on fines for multiple warning code offenders

B. Sabo – there have been warnings going out and issues not being fixed. D. Adams – an example is one citizen who has had around 5 warning letters in the last 10 years, but never a follow up with fines even though the issues had never been addressed. I guess my question is, at what point do we start issuing fines? M. Lee – third strike, you’re out. You can’t continue warnings forever. Two warnings is enough. T. Jermann – you just cite them. Ken W.- write a citation, giving them time to pay the fine. They can appeal with the court if they want or pay you. If there are sliding fines, the court could have them pay more or less than what we originally fined. M. Lee – always fine the max. T. Jermann – we have rewritten codes to just have one set fee. Then there’s not wiggle room. M. Lee – 50 bucks I would just pay for a point, but maybe still not fix the problem. Some people just need their hand held.

- Discussion on nuisance code in regards to offensive smells

B. Sabo – reason we bring this up is because there are smells coming from a certain home and many complaints. Our current nuisance code only applies smells to businesses. We want to change the code to cover residences as well. M. Lee- I’ve walked down there and smelled and no longer walk that way. B. Sabo – hard to bring up because it’s kind of weird, but I’ve been close to there and it’s very bad. M. Lee- they’re going to have to bleach out there a few times. T. Jermann – it only takes one sentence to change this code. Most of the areas with smells have livestock. The problem is that nobody even has a livestock permit, and the smells typically come from that. We aren’t enforcing that at this point, but we should. B. Sabo – just wanted to bring this up to discuss. D. Adams – do we want to redo the ordinance for next meeting? Councilmembers- Yes.

Adjournment 6:55



City Council Meeting Agenda
May 22nd, 2018
7:00 p.m.

Meeting Call to Order at 7:03 pm

Roll Call – Present; Mayor Sabo, councilmembers J. Acton, T. Norris, M. Lee, J. Whitcomb. Absent; T. Randall

Pledge of Allegiance

Agenda Changes & Additions –

- Excuse Councilor Randall
- Discuss and vote on new website maintenance contract

Public Hearings- none

Consent Agenda

- Approval of May 8th, 2018 meeting minutes and invoices

Motion by J. Acton, second by J. Whitcomb Passed 4-0

Guest Presentations - none

Public Comments- none

Councilmember Comments- J. Whitcomb- we need to add to new business that Stevens IT service has not been paid. D. Adams- we will cut him a check by Friday and check with Treasurer about what happened. B. Sabo – that’s not good, I apologize Don, we’ll get that fixed, that’s no good. M. Lee- no comment.

T. Norris – good to see people here who haven’t been here in a while. J. Acton – just wanted to say that Judie’s brother finished the totem pole restoration. There will be a dedication on Monday at 3pm. It looks great and all the kids coming out of the baseball fields are checking it out.

Mayor’s Comments- I appreciate having you all here, and again I’m sorry Don about the payment.

City Clerk Report – see attached

City Attorney’s Report- Stevenson council has voted a reduction in the buffer zone for pot shops. It has been reduced to 100 ft, with the exception of schools, parks, playgrounds, which all remain at 1000 ft. Three or four lots are available that would comply with this.

Unfinished Business

New Business

- Excusing T. Randall from council meeting- Motion by T. Norris, second by J. Acton Passed 4-0
Travis walked in and was present for the rest of the meeting immediately after voted excused.
- Robyn Legun/Mike Baker – Discussion of Cannabis Corner/PDA/buffer reduction/Stevenson location offer. Robyn – the buffer has been reduced in Stevenson. What I’m asking is that we propose a contract between NB/Stevenson to operate the CC in Stevenson and leave the PDA in North Bonneville. We are asking because with the Hot Springs closed we have not seen the tourism customers we have in the past. There is already a 47% difference from last summer to this January. It seems as though Stevenson is ramping up their tourism market and NB is ramping it down. We are also asking because our current rent is almost \$7000/month, whereas we have

had an offer from a prime location in Stevenson for \$1200 a month and raising to \$3000 within 8 years. This location is on the main drag in a 25 mph zone and the combination of these points is quite advantageous. We are asking today for you to consider a proposal for a contract with Stevenson. Not losing the PDA and not losing grant money would still be a plus for North Bonneville. Even if sales stay stagnant (which is not expected), just the difference in rent will increase our funds by nearly \$60k/year. The board decides on who gets grants for what, but it is written in that NB has priority on the grant money. The charter states what we can use grants for. M. Baker – we didn't lead this, the landlord approached us with this deal. The existing leases will still be paid. In the first year we should be able to afford both rents. If council gets going on the contract, the PDA will pay for the cost of the entirety of the move, the contract, and any attorney work going into the establishment of the contract. Ken W- Tunis asked if I would do because of more governmental experience. I work for both cities, so have to do with consent of both cities and cannot do any negotiations. You have to have an alternate attorney. Robyn L – We are interested in this happening as quickly as possible. J. Acton – You moving to Stevenson means we would lose the sales tax. Last week I crunched your numbers and it seems that your debt reduction was only 17k and that you must borrow more to pay off and move. Robyn L – we refinanced our loans on better terms. T. Randall – this seems like a smart move. Not really getting anything from them now. The location would be better for business. Judy W. – what are the pros and cons here? Robyn L – the only con is about the sales tax, but we want to ensure you still get what you got out of tax or more. Pros are higher sales, cheaper rent, great location, higher population and tourism. I don't really see where we can go wrong. Granting will come available as loans are paid down. I know it's kind of a challenge to make the deal between cities, but they have our school kids, police, and other shared deals with them. If we don't do this? Kackley needs more than we should pay, we were asked to take signs down that were visible from the freeway and the speed of traffic by the shop is too fast to see. The location and rent reductions should be excellent. There are other buildings for less rent, but would have the same problem with low traffic due to location. Moving expenses will happen either way. Mike Baker- the only con is sales tax revenue, we can arrange for you to still receive that kind of money. Same Charter, same PDA, same office. Ken – Sales tax w/two cities can negotiate splitting the profit. T. Randall – NB gets grant priority, right? Mike Baker – yes. Committee that is not PDA board decides who gets the grants. B. Sabo- how about the Cannabis Board? Robyn- yes. The main officer agrees that this move would be a great idea. License is activated with location, LCCC approved security. J. Acton- would you move the PDA? R. Legun – we would wait it out. When the license is negotiable it could be appealing, then possibly move PDA if we need to. T. Randall – Oregon traffic still comes here, but in the slower area you would see more biz. Good choice for growth. I think Acton has a personal vendetta against pot, whereas I just want what's best for the City. I'm calling you out. Robyn L- we're really hoping you'll approve this and we would love for you to continue gaining from this deal. B. Sabo – Here it gives us revenue, there it does not. Robyn – We have competition looking at the same location. We are a good business and the landlord would prefer us and think Stevenson as well. M. Baker – we just need a consensus, you know the pros/cons. Dan – if competition gets that location and we stay here, we will likely fail. This is almost guaranteed to be beneficial. Robyn Sabo – She wants us, if we keep making effort to go there, it's ours. Ken W- council should authorize Sabo to discuss w/ Stevenson. Cities agree to let Ken be the scrivener. Then I write it up, gets looked at and approved by both councils- Stevenson and NB. T. Norris – this is a lot to think about in a moment's notice. In favor of seeing CC have an opportunity and it is not here in NB. The license is important to the city, want to see it continue. Would dissolving the PDA be advantage or disadvantage? Dan – no advantage to dissolving. Robyn – finally seeing light at the end of the tunnel with this deal. Hope you will allow

us to get the process started. Think about what you would like in the contract. T. Randall – I agree with Norris, would like to see the city benefit. We gain more than we lose if they succeed. J. Whitcomb- so do we make a motion. Ken – yes, to authorize the Mayor to proceed with negotiations to proceed with business outside NB and give consent to Ken to act as scrivener. Motion by T. Randall for mayor to proceed with negotiations and give consent to Ken to act as scrivener, J. Whitcomb second Passed 4-1

Adding continued discussion to next council meeting. T. Norris – I asked for salaries last time you were here. Robyn- I will have those for you tomorrow.

T. Randall motion that PDA covers all expenses w/atty and moving expenses. J. Whitcomb second Passed 5-0

- Discussion and vote on Resolution 511 Authorizing Investment of City Money in LGIP
Motion by T. Randall, second by J. Whitcomb Passed 5-0
- Discussion and vote on requesting Gorge Days funds/Proposed Budget
Motion by T. Randall, not to exceed \$5,000, second by J. Whitcomb Passed 5-0
- Discussion and vote on Minnehaha Designs website design contract
Motion by T. Randall, not to exceed \$5,000, second by M. Lee Passed 5-0

Closing Public Comments: Greg Johnson of NB- On June 7th, the Nbfd is hosting the Skamania Radio Board. Issues addressed will be a mutual aid agreement with whole county being drafted. . We will bring it to council when finished. This is for EMS, FD, Police. Relieves pressure to all departments. 15 or so people will be in attendance.

Eric Kackley of NB- I just wanted to address that I didn't ask CC to remove signs. I said you should ask permission before hanging, don't badmouth me. I also offered to lower rent. On a positive note, Don Stevens and I are organizing the Poker Run for Gorge Days. Volcanic Bikes is covering the cost. Money will go to some participants and other to the city towards the playground. We need 10 volunteers for the event. T. Randall and T. Norris will volunteer. To Ken: Can we do a go fund me for the playground? Ken W- yes, it's a personal page and a personal fund, you would just choose to donate funds to the playground.

Closing Council Comments: T. Randall – thanks for coming and thanks to the PDA board for coming. T. Norris – a friend once said, “if business is going down, fire management first and start over.” But Robyn is astute, kind of thought the board members were smoking something though. In this case, I wouldn't fire management, it wouldn't make a difference. Location, location, location. J. Whitcomb- The totem pole is done, ceremony on Monday at 3pm. I wanted to bring it to attention that someone shot a hole through my front door. Police think it is a pellet gun, but just wanted all to be aware. M. Lee- just a reminder also that ticks are very bad this year. Check your pets.

Motion to adjourn- Motion by T. Randall. All in favor 5-0