



## NORTH BONNEVILLE CITY COUNCIL

Mayor JB Tennison  
Councilmember Emily Sabo  
Councilmember Margie Lee

Councilmember Mary Helms  
Councilmember Jeff Blakeley  
Councilmember Ted Salka

### City Council Meeting Agenda Tuesday, May 12, 2026 7:00 p.m.

**A. Meeting Call to Order**

*This meeting will be held both in-person and using a video conferencing system. Click the following link to join the meeting online:*

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 253 570 667 557 24

Passcode: Hy6Ht3Ra

**B. Roll Call**

**C. Pledge of Allegiance**

**D. Agenda Changes and Additions**

**E. Public Hearings**

**F. Consent Agenda**

1. Approval of the April 28<sup>th</sup> minutes.
2. Approval of the May first half vouchers.

**G. Opening Public Comment**

*This is the time to comment on any matter falling within the purview of the North Bonneville City Council. Please note, the City Council cannot take action on any item which does not appear on the agenda. RCW 42.17A.555 prohibits public officials and employees from using or authorizing the use of city facilities for the purpose of supporting or opposing any campaign or ballot proposition. The City therefore respectfully reiterates that public commenters are not authorized to make reference to such matters.*

**H. Councilmember Comments**

**I. Sheriff's Report**

**J. Fire Chief Report**

**K. Mayor's Report**

**L. City Clerk Report**

**M. City Attorney's Report**

**N. Planner's Report**

**O. Executive Session Pursuant to RCW 42.30.110**

**P. New Business**

1. Appointment of Mayor Pro Tempore
2. Approval of invoice 42726
3. Approval of a work session on May 26, 2026
4. Resolution 596 Authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer as an investor in the Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP)
5. Accept settlement of ATU Grievance #2388
6. Discussion Regarding Half Voucher Review – Requested by Councilor Blakeley
7. Discussion Regarding Public Comment Prior to Action Items – Requested by Councilor Blakeley

**Q. Closing Public Comments**

**R. Closing Council Comments**

**S. Executive Session**

**T. Adjournment**

Note: During any public meeting, the Council may adjourn to an executive session in accordance with RCW 42.30.110.



## NORTH BONNEVILLE CITY COUNCIL

Mayor JB Tennison  
Councilmember Emily Sabo  
Councilmember Margie Lee

Councilmember Mary Helms  
Councilmember #4 Jeff Blakeley  
Councilmember #5 VACANT

### City Council Meeting Agenda

April 28, 2026

7:00 p.m.

#### A. Meeting Call to Order 7:00

*This meeting will be held both in-person and using a video conferencing system. Click the following link to join the meeting online:*

[Join the meeting now](#)

Meeting ID: 253 570 667 557 24

Passcode: Hy6Ht3Ra

#### B. Roll Call

#### C. Pledge of Allegiance

#### D. Agenda Changes and Additions

On motion by Councilor Blakeley, seconded by Councilor Lee, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, it was approved that the Council amend the agenda to hold an executive session pursuant to RCW 42.30.110(1)(f) to receive and evaluate complaints or charges brought against a public officer.

On motion by Councilor Blakeley, seconded by Councilor Lee, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, it was approved that the Council amend the agenda to add an action item to New Business to appoint a temporary Mayor Pro Tempore as the first order of new business.

Councilor Sabo clarified that Councilor Blakeley would like to appoint a temporary Mayor Pro Tem prior to the appointment of the new Councilor to which Councilor Blakeley responded yes.

On motion by Councilor Blakeley, seconded by Councilor Lee, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, it was approved that the Council amend the agenda to add a discussion item to New Business to address the respective roles of the Mayor and City Council in regard to an email sent by the Mayor to a Councilor.

On motion by Councilor Blakeley, seconded by Councilor Lee, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, it was approved that the Council amend the agenda to add a discussion item to New Business to address how the agenda is set without running afoul of the OPMA.

Chief Administrative Officer Taylor Chambers informed the Council that she intended to bring in someone to train the Council on a similar subject to the requested discussion item and asked if the Council would like to wait to hold the discussion until after they received further training to which Councilor Blakeley responded no.

## **E. New Business**

### **1. Appointment of Temporary Mayor Pro Tempore**

Councilor Blakeley thought it was important that the Council always have a Mayor Pro Tempore and volunteered to serve in the temporary role.

On motion by Councilor Helms, seconded by Councilor Sabo, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, it was ordered that Councilor Blakeley be appointed as temporary Mayor Pro Tempore for a period ending May 12, 2026.

### **2. Appointment of vacant Council seat #5**

CAO Chambers stated the process to appoint Councilor number 5 was the same as when Councilor Blakeley was appointed.

On motion by Councilor Sabo, which motion duly carried on a 4-0 vote, Ted Salka was appointed to fill vacant Council seat #5 with a term ending December 31, 2027.

### **3. Oath of Office for new Councilor**

CAO Chambers swore Mr. Salka into office.

### **4. Discussion to address the respective roles of the Mayor and City Council in regard to an email sent by the Mayor to a Councilor.**

Councilor Blakeley spoke about an email sent from Mayor Tennison to a fellow Councilor and requested that the discussion not include the content of the email because it involved a member of the community. He opined that the email made him feel uncomfortable and felt that Mayor Tennison was scolding a Councilor. He asserted that Mayor Tennison was part of the Executive Branch of the City and the Council was the Legislative Branch. He declared that the Council did not answer to the Mayor and outlined the chain of command for complaints about Councilors. He requested clarification from counsel regarding how situations like this should be handled in the future.

City Attorney Dan Curtis stated he did not know how to advise the Council because he did not have enough information about the situation Councilor Blakeley was referring to and asked for more context.

Councilor Sabo stated the email was from Mayor Tennison correcting the conduct of a Councilmember.

Attorney Curtis remarked that the Mayor oversaw order during a meeting, so there was some ground for polite conversations about previous meetings and how to improve things for future meetings. He stated there was no binding authority that the mayor had over the Council that exceeded the duration of the Council meeting. He opined the Council was on the right track by discussing how to address these types of situations.

Councilor Blakeley clarified that he would not have had an issue with the situation if it was handled in a more positive manner.

Councilor Sabo stated that most of the Council was new and it was important to remember that they were all elected officials with responsibility to the community. She asserted that no one was above anyone else. She declared that she did not have a problem with respectful corrective communication between Councilors, however, it was important to remember that they were all working towards the same goal and none of them were each other's supervisors.

Councilor Helms opined there was a polite way to say everything.

**5. Discussion to address how the agenda is set without running afoul of the OPMA.**

Councilor Blakeley stated there was a determination made that an individual Councilor could not place items on the agenda, therefore he had to request items during Changes to the Agenda. He stated when that happened, Councilors did not have a chance to prepare for the item.

Councilor Lee stated that the Council had the authority to establish the council meeting agenda.

CAO Chambers pointed out that the specific language Councilor Lee was citing referred to the Council. She clarified that the decision to place an item on the agenda had to be made by the Council as a whole.

Attorney Curtis stated that commonly, Councilors would make requests for future agenda items during council meetings so it could be determined whether or not there was a general consensus among the body as a whole to add the item to an agenda. He stated the Council needed to be careful when adding items to the agenda as the City was subject to the OPMA and could not discuss part of a thing in public while keeping part of it private. He asserted that discussion of City business needed to happen in public to the extent possible. He requested that Council get into a rhythm where, during a Council meeting, Councilors presented items for the next Council meeting during Council comments, if it was determined that there was a general consensus, staff would place the item on a future agenda. He stated that the alternative was what Councilor Blakeley did at the beginning of the meeting when he amended the agenda with the consent of the entire Council.

Councilor Blakeley asked if it would be considered a serial meeting should staff make multiple contacts with each Councilor to which Attorney Curtis responded no. Councilor Blakeley asserted that under the Council Rules of Procedure Chapter 4.4, if a councilmember requests an item to be put on an agenda, the mayor must put the item on the agenda in a reasonable time.

Councilor Sabo stated that historically, if someone wanted something placed on the agenda, it was placed on the agenda.

CAO Chambers stated she had never seen agenda items done that way and had confirmed with Attorney Curtis that the proper procedure was what he had outlined. CAO Chambers

though it was important that Councilors not be permitted to make unilateral decisions about the agenda.

Attorney Curtis asked if Councilor Blakeley was trying to place items on the agenda in between Council meetings to which Councilor Blakeley responded yes. Attorney Curtis supposed it was possible but was typically avoided. He explained there was a lot of work to get the agenda put together. What could happen was that there might be one or two individual Councilors who had several things they would like added to the agenda, which could lead to one individual Councilor monopolizing the conversation when they may or may not necessarily have the consensus of the body. However, it was the Council's meeting, so it could decide as a group that they were okay with individual Councilors reaching out to staff in between meetings and staff could make their best effort to put individual Councilmember's items on the agenda.

Councilor Blakeley asked if the Council should vote to do it that way to which Attorney Curtis stated Councilor Blakeley could propose a motion.

Attorney Curtis clarified what section of the Council Rules of Procedure Councilor Blakeley was referring to. Councilor Blakeley stated it was Chapter 4.4 which was from an iteration of the Rules of Procedure dated October 6, 2016, but he only had the red lined version of that version online.

Attorney Curtis asked that a Councilor read that section into the record and stated staff would get the updated version of the Rules of Procedure published on the website so everyone had the correct version going forward.

Councilor Blakeley read Chapter 4.4 of the Council Rules of Procedure into the record which was approved by a 5-0 vote.

## **F. Public Hearings**

### **1. Critical Areas Ordinance Draft Amendment Periodic Review**

City Planner Richard Hall provided an introduction to the Critical Areas Ordinance Draft Amendment. He stated that the City received written comments from the Washington Department of Ecology and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife which were being reviewed to determine any changes that might be deemed necessary. He noted that he had not received any comments from the public to date. He introduced Paul Dennia and Allison Baker from Jackson Civil Engineering.

Planner Dennis stated that he received two agency comments that day. He remarked that the comments were at odds with one another and requested that the Council continue this item to the Council's first June meeting to allow staff time to review the agency comments.

Councilor Lee confirmed that the request was to continue the item to the Council's June 9<sup>th</sup> meeting to which Planner Dennis responded yes.

Attorney Curtis asked if the comments that Planner Dennis mentioned were reflected in the packet to which Planner Dennis responded no.

On motion by Councilor Helms, seconded by Lee, which motion carried on a 5-0 vote, it was ordered that the Public Hearing for the Critical Areas Ordinance Draft Amendment Periodic Review be continued to June 9, 2026.

Planner Hall outlined that staff would hold further discussions with the Department of Commerce to merge and incorporate the comments received from staff. He declared that at the June 9<sup>th</sup> meeting the public would have the opportunity to comment.

#### **G. Consent Agenda**

1. Approval of the April 14<sup>th</sup> special meeting minutes.
2. Approval of the April 14<sup>th</sup> Meeting Minutes.
3. Approval of the April second half vouchers.

On motion by Councilor Lee, seconded by Councilor Sabo, which motion duly carried on a 5-0 vote, it was ordered that the April 14<sup>th</sup> Special Meeting minutes, the April 14<sup>th</sup> meeting minutes, and the April second half vouchers in the amount of \$13,803.85 be approved.

#### **H. Opening Public Comment**

*This is the time to comment on any matter falling within the purview of the North Bonneville City Council. Please note, the City Council cannot take action on any item which does not appear on the agenda. RCW 42.17A.555 prohibits public officials and employees from using or authorizing the use of city facilities for the purpose of supporting or opposing any campaign or ballot proposition. The City therefore respectfully reiterates that public commenters are not authorized to make reference to such matters.*

1. Written public comment received from Lisa Marie on April 20, 2026. Subject: Formal Complaint for Submission to City Council Public Record. (Redacted to remove vulgar language from the record.)
2. Written public comment received from Fred Dressler on April 24, 2026. Subject: Hamilton Island Exposed.

There was no response to the call for public comment.

#### **I. Councilmember Comments**

Councilor Lee stated she had nothing to add.

Councilor Helms stated she had nothing to add.

Councilor Sabo welcomed Councilor Salka and reminded the public that taxes were due on Thursday.

Councilor Salka asked if the City was responsible for anything mentioned in Mr. Dressler's public comment. Councilor Sabo stated that the topic had been a matter of public comment for a long time. She explained that there was a board that dealt with the matter.

Councilor Blakeley remarked that when he was a member of the public watching the Council meetings, he felt the Council was often unprepared. He stated that after being appointed to the Council, he quickly learned about the OPMA and that Councilors could not talk to each other. He declared that the public had better access to the Councilors than they did to each other.

#### **J. Sheriff's Report**

Skamania County Sheriff Summer Scheyer shared that she put two items before the Skamania County Commission were approved at their meeting earlier in the day. The first item was to formalize the Sheriff's Office Auxiliary which tied in existing volunteer groups associated with the Skamania County Sheriff's Office, which the Commission approved. The second item was a workshop regarding an ordinance for the Skamania County Search and Rescue to allow the Sheriff's office to fine negligence. She declared there was nothing major going on in North Bonneville.

Ms. Mary Salka stated that there was a situation at the rock quarry and declared that she was impressed with the Sheriff's Office response.

Councilor Salka mentioned that there had been some creeping around his shop and at Silver Star after dark.

#### **K. Mayor's Report**

Mayor Tennison stated he had nothing to add and was waiting for some contracts to be finalized with the Attorney.

#### **L. City Clerk Report**

CAO Chambers stated that she met with the WCIA regarding the City's risk audit and annual review. She mentioned that the topic was contracts which she thought was relevant because the City was working on amending the contracts that were audited. She was working on the FEMA grant for damage from the December storm noting that she submitted the Request for Public Assistance the day before. She stated that she had been doing a lot of budget work and planned to have a quarterly report before the Council soon. She spoke about the Small City Connectors event she attended and recommended that Councilors attend some AWC training.

#### **M. City Attorney's Report**

Attorney Curtis stated that he was working with CAO Chambers to develop a single document for the City's fees. He noted that currently, the fees were listed in the code, but were updated by resolution, which opened the possibility that two people could find inconsistent information. He hoped to get the item before Council for a first read shortly.

## **N. Planner's Report**

Planner Hall announced that the Planning Commission had a meeting scheduled for May 5, 2026. He stated that he planned to hold a hearing for a conditional use permit, but the applicant had withdrawn. He stated that he received final drawings regarding engineering for utility upgrades at the Cascade Business Park and hoped development could start soon.

## **O. Fire Chief Report**

Fire Chief Kalista Hamilton welcomed Councilor Salka. She stated that the Department got through its initial meeting with eh WSRB. She announced that during the meeting, she learned that the Department's current packs and bottles had not been flow or pressure tested and were now expired. She declared that she found a semi-permanent solution and could use some grant money to fix it. She remarked that she was working on the Gorge days event and had started updating the Gorge days website. She noted that there had been several complaints regarding the burn pile being closed and announced that the Public Works department was working to do some small burns to work on the issue.

Mayor Tennison clarified if Chief Hamilton was able to get turnouts with the recent grant she received to which she responded no, they were able to purchase packs, masks, and bottles.

Councilor Blakeley asked if the bottles were steel or composite to which Chief Hamilton responded they were composite.

## **P. Closing Public Comments**

There were no requests to the call for public comment.

## **Q. Closing Council Comments**

Councilor Lee stated that she had nothing to add.

Councilor Helms spoke about the emailed public comment regarding Mayor Tennison and opined he should have made a phone call to discuss the issue with the Councilors.

Councilor Salka had nothing to add.

Councilor Sabo thanked Chief Hamilton for mentioning the burn pile and declared that she received several phone calls about it. She knew there was public concern, especially in the spring, but she also understood the issue the City was having. She mentioned that she added some grass clippings to her compost pile and it started steaming and when she went to check on it, it seemed ready to combust. She reminded the public that things like that could happen.

Councilor Blakeley reminded people to be mindful of the wind when burning.

## **R. Executive Session:**

pursuant to RCW 42.30.110(1)(f) to receive and evaluate complaints or charges brought against a public officer, the Council recessed to a 10-minute executive session from 8:15 to 8:25.

The Council extended the executive session from 8:25 to 8:35.

The Council extended the executive session from 8:35 to 8:45.

The Council extended the executive session from 8:45 to 8:48.

**S. Adjournment 8:48**

Note: During any public meeting, the Council may adjourn to an executive session in accordance with RCW 42.30.110.



**May 2026 1<sup>st</sup> Half Invoices  
Presented for City Council Approval  
4/28/2026**

**Total Disbursements: \$36,251.48**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor                      CC Initials                      CC Initials                      CC Initials                      CC Initials                      CC Initials

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the materials have been furnished, the services rendered or the labor performed as described herein, that any advance payment is due and payable pursuant to a contract or is available as an option for full or partial fulfillment of a contractual obligation, and all claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation, and that the claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation against the City of North Bonneville, and I am authorized to authenticate and certify to said claim.

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk/Treasurer

# City of North Bonneville

## Unpaid Bills Report All Dates

Date	Transaction type	Num	Due date	Past due	Amount	Open balance
<b>A&amp;J Select Market</b>						
05/06/2026	Bill	Account: 56	05/13/2026	-6	43.11	43.11
<b>Total for A&amp;J Select Market</b>					<b>\$43.11</b>	<b>\$43.11</b>
<b>Bishop Sanitation Inc</b>						
04/28/2026	Bill	119805	05/13/2026	-6	280.00	280.00
<b>Total for Bishop Sanitation Inc</b>					<b>\$280.00</b>	<b>\$280.00</b>
<b>Blue Mountain Networks</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	342852	05/13/2026	-6	77.55	77.55
<b>Total for Blue Mountain Networks</b>					<b>\$77.55</b>	<b>\$77.55</b>
<b>Bryant Pipe &amp; Supply Inc</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	9001	05/13/2026	-6	188.23	188.23
<b>Total for Bryant Pipe &amp; Supply Inc</b>					<b>\$188.23</b>	<b>\$188.23</b>
<b>BSK Associates Engineering</b>						
05/06/2026	Bill	VJ01015	05/13/2026	-6	125.00	125.00
<b>Total for BSK Associates Engineering</b>					<b>\$125.00</b>	<b>\$125.00</b>
<b>Century Link</b>						
05/07/2026	Bill	313578628	05/13/2026	-6	773.56	773.56
05/07/2026	Bill	313658302	05/13/2026	-6	209.34	209.34
<b>Total for Century Link</b>					<b>\$982.90</b>	<b>\$982.90</b>
<b>City of N. Bonneville</b>						
05/06/2026	Bill	9720-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	90.63	90.63
05/06/2026	Bill	9718-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	187.96	187.96
05/06/2026	Bill	9724-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	69.96	69.96
05/06/2026	Bill	9719-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	4,421.51	4,421.51
05/06/2026	Bill	9716-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	187.96	187.96
05/06/2026	Bill	9717-0	05/13/2026	-6	124.30	124.30
05/06/2026	Bill	9682-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	891.05	891.05
05/06/2026	Bill	9677-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	73.88	73.88
05/06/2026	Bill	9683-0 May	05/13/2026	-6	33.17	33.17
<b>Total for City of N. Bonneville</b>					<b>\$6,080.42</b>	<b>\$6,080.42</b>
<b>Columbia Ace Hardware Inc.</b>						
04/30/2026	Bill	51024	05/13/2026	-6	17.19	17.19
05/06/2026	Bill	51062	05/13/2026	-6	26.95	26.95
05/07/2026	Bill	51253	05/13/2026	-6	9.29	9.29
05/07/2026	Bill	51296	05/13/2026	-6	13.09	13.09
<b>Total for Columbia Ace Hardware</b>					<b>\$66.52</b>	<b>\$66.52</b>

# City of North Bonneville

Unpaid Bills Report

All Dates

Date	Transaction type	Num	Due date	Past due	Amount	Open balance
Inc.						

Item F.2

# City of North Bonneville

## Unpaid Bills Report All Dates

Date	Transaction type	Num	Due date	Past due	Amount	Open balance
Columbia River Disposal						
05/05/2026	Bill	15155444S025	05/13/2026	-6	433.18	433.18
<b>Total for Columbia River Disposal</b>					<b>\$433.18</b>	<b>\$433.18</b>
Department of Health						
05/06/2026	Bill	60150 L	05/13/2026	-6	968.16	968.16
<b>Total for Department of Health</b>					<b>\$968.16</b>	<b>\$968.16</b>
Jackson Civil Engineering						
05/05/2026	Bill	0001-12-06	05/13/2026	-6	4,701.00	4,701.00
<b>Total for Jackson Civil Engineering</b>					<b>\$4,701.00</b>	<b>\$4,701.00</b>
MES Service Company LLC						
05/06/2026	Bill	IN2497856	05/13/2026	-6	4,819.59	4,819.59
<b>Total for MES Service Company LLC</b>					<b>\$4,819.59</b>	<b>\$4,819.59</b>
Muni-Link LLC						
05/05/2026	Bill	4920	05/13/2026	-6	20.05	20.05
<b>Total for Muni-Link LLC</b>					<b>\$20.05</b>	<b>\$20.05</b>
One Call Concepts, Inc.						
05/05/2026	Bill	6029101-IN	05/13/2026	-6	5.56	5.56
05/06/2026	Bill	6049101	05/13/2026	-6	9.73	9.73
<b>Total for One Call Concepts, Inc.</b>					<b>\$15.29</b>	<b>\$15.29</b>
Phoenix Technology Inc.						
05/06/2026	Bill	34462	05/13/2026	-6	848.12	848.12
<b>Total for Phoenix Technology Inc.</b>					<b>\$848.12</b>	<b>\$848.12</b>
Portland Engineering						
05/05/2026	Bill	13641	05/13/2026	-6	64.62	64.62
<b>Total for Portland Engineering</b>					<b>\$64.62</b>	<b>\$64.62</b>
Primo Brands						
04/22/2026	Bill	06D8750004732	05/13/2026	-6	101.09	101.09
<b>Total for Primo Brands</b>					<b>\$101.09</b>	<b>\$101.09</b>
Richard Hall						
05/05/2026	Bill	188	05/13/2026	-6	1,744.65	1,744.65
<b>Total for Richard Hall</b>					<b>\$1,744.65</b>	<b>\$1,744.65</b>
Skamania County EDC						
05/05/2026	Bill	02-0008	05/13/2026	-6	3,108.44	3,108.44
<b>Total for Skamania County EDC</b>					<b>\$3,108.44</b>	<b>\$3,108.44</b>

# City of North Bonneville

## Unpaid Bills Report All Dates

Date	Transaction type	Num	Due date	Past due	Amount	Open balance
<b>Skamania County Pioneer</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	769	05/13/2026	-6	223.00	223.00
<b>Total for Skamania County Pioneer</b>					<b>\$223.00</b>	<b>\$223.00</b>
<b>Skamania County Probation</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	April 2026	05/13/2026	-6	210.00	210.00
<b>Total for Skamania County Probation</b>					<b>\$210.00</b>	<b>\$210.00</b>
<b>Thompson, Guildner and Associates, Inc., P.S.</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	5088	05/13/2026	-6	8,958.70	8,958.70
05/05/2026	Bill	5089	05/13/2026	-6	1,000.00	1,000.00
<b>Total for Thompson, Guildner and Associates, Inc., P.S.</b>					<b>\$9,958.70</b>	<b>\$9,958.70</b>
<b>Turf Star Western</b>						
05/05/2026	Bill	INV151136	05/13/2026	-6	336.76	336.76
<b>Total for Turf Star Western</b>					<b>\$336.76</b>	<b>\$336.76</b>
<b>TWGW Inc. Wilson's NAPA Auto Parts</b>						
04/30/2026	Bill	26976	05/13/2026	-6	61.97	61.97
<b>Total for TWGW Inc. Wilson's NAPA Auto Parts</b>					<b>\$61.97</b>	<b>\$61.97</b>
<b>US Bank Equipment Finance</b>						
05/07/2026	Bill	581333366	05/13/2026	-6	583.13	583.13
<b>Total for US Bank Equipment Finance</b>					<b>\$583.13</b>	<b>\$583.13</b>
<b>Washington City/County Management Association</b>						
04/30/2026	Bill		05/13/2026	-6	210.00	210.00
<b>Total for Washington City/County Management Association</b>					<b>\$210.00</b>	<b>\$210.00</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>\$36,251.48</b>	<b>\$36,251.48</b>

Item F.2



City of  
**NORTH BONNEVILLE**

P.O. Box 7

North Bonneville, WA 98639

(509)427-8182

**Staff Report**

**BOARD MEETING DATE: May 12, 2026**

**TO:** North Bonneville City Council

**FROM:** Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer

**SUBJECT:** Appointment of Mayor Pro Tempore

---

**SUMMARY:**

Now that all Council seats are filled, it is important for the Council to appoint a Mayor Pro Tempore. This position is responsible for fulfilling the duties of the Mayor in the mayor's absence.

**PREVIOUS ACTION:**

On March 24, 2026, the Council voted to table the election of Mayor Pro Tem until there was a full Council.

On April 28, 2026, during Changes to the Agenda, Councilor Blakeley requested an action item be added to the agenda to appoint a temporary Mayor Pro Tempore for a term ending May 12, 2026. Councilor Blakeley was subsequently appointed to serve in that temporary capacity.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff is neutral.

**POSSIBLE MOTION:**

I move to appoint X to serve as the Mayor Pro Tempore for the City of North Bonneville.



City of  
**NORTH BONNEVILLE**

P.O. Box 7

North Bonneville, WA 98639

(509)427-8182

**Staff Report**  
**BOARD MEETING DATE:**

**TO:** North Bonneville City Council

**FROM:** Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer

**SUBJECT:** Approval of invoice 42726

---

**SUMMARY:**

Councilor Sabo has requested that this invoice not be included on the Consent Agenda so she may recuse herself from the item.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff is neutral

**POSSIBLE MOTION:**

I move to approve invoice 42726 in the amount of \$930.

INVOICE For  
Cty of N. Bonneville  
WA 98639

CODY GARDNER  
N. Bonneville WA  
[REDACTED]

Invoice 42726  
DATE 4-27-24

PW	load mowers (2)	4hr	180.00
	Transport mowers		100.00

FD	TOILET AND SINK removal and disposal		250.00
	New desk top of office and install		125.00
	Plumbing AND SHEETROCK Repair		125.00
	New fingerprint door lock and INSTALL		150.00

Total for Public Works (PW) 280.00

Total for Fire Dept (FD) 650.00  
~~650.00~~

TOTAL DUE AS OF 4-27-24 \$930.00

THANK YOU



City of  
**NORTH BONNEVILLE**

P.O. Box 7

North Bonneville, WA 98639

(509)427-8182

**Staff Report**

**BOARD MEETING DATE: May 12, 2026**

**TO:** North Bonneville City Council

**FROM:** Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer

**SUBJECT:** Temporary Suspension of Council Rules of Procedure Chapter 4.1

---

**SUMMARY:**

Pursuant to Chapter 10.1 of the Council Rules of Procedure, the Council may temporarily suspend its rules of procedure by a majority vote of the Council.

Staff believes it would be prudent for the Council to undergo OPMA training. In the interest of respecting the Councilor's time, staff would like to hold a workshop during a regularly scheduled Council meeting. Rather than holding a lengthy Council meeting consisting of both a workshop and regular Council business, but understanding there are regular action items that must go before the Council for approval at each meeting, staff would like to amend the Council's regular agenda, thus suspending Chapter 4.1, for the period of one Council meeting, to take place on May 26, 2026. If approved, the order of business for the May 26, 2026, Council meeting would read as follows:

- A. Meeting Call to Order
- B. Roll Call
- C. Pledge of Allegiance
- D. Consent agenda
- E. Public Comment
- F. Councilmember Comments
- G. Workshop
- H. Adjournment

**POSSIBLE MOTION:**

I move to temporarily suspend the Council Rules of Procedure Chapter 4.1 for the purpose of holding a workshop during the May 26, 2026, regularly scheduled Council meeting.



City of  
**NORTH BONNEVILLE**

P.O. Box 7

North Bonneville, WA 98639

(509)427-8182

**Staff Report**

**BOARD MEETING DATE: May 12, 2026**

**TO:** North Bonneville City Council

**FROM:** Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer

**SUBJECT:** Resolution 596 – Authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer as an investor in the LGIP

---

**SUMMARY:**

The City of North Bonneville participates in the Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP). This pool was created with the intention to maximize opportunities for the investment of surplus public funds. While the State Treasurer is the primary investor for the entire LGIP, it is important to identify who is responsible for making investment decisions on behalf of our jurisdiction. In the past, the Council has passed resolutions naming a specific individual as the investor on the account. This is not best practice as people don't stay in their roles forever. This new resolution would give the investment delegation to the "Chief Administrative Officer" thus removing the necessity to bring a resolution before the Council every time a new person sits in the role.

**PREVIOUS ACTION:**

The most recent action pertaining to this item was the passage of Resolution 581 which designated Janette Tucker as the City's investor in the LGIP.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of this item.

**POSSIBLE MOTION:**

I move to approve Resolution 596, authorizing the Chief Administrative Officer as the City's investor in the LGIP.

**CITY OF NORTH BONNEVILLE**  
**RESOLUTION NO. 596**  
**AUTHORIZING INVESTMENT OF CITY OF**  
**NORTH BONNEVILLE MONIES IN THE**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT**  
**POOL**

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to Chapter 294, Laws of 1986, the Legislature created a trust fund to be known as the public funds investment account (commonly referred to as the Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP)) for the contribution and withdrawal of money by an authorized governmental entity for purposes of investment by the Office of the State Treasurer; and

**WHEREAS**, from time to time it may be advantageous to the authorized governmental entity, City of North Bonneville, the “governmental entity”, to contribute funds available for investment in the LGIP; and

**WHEREAS**, the investment strategy for the LGIP is set forth in its policies and procedures; and

**WHEREAS**, any contributions or withdrawals to or from the LGIP made on behalf of the governmental entity shall be first duly authorized by the Chief Administrative Officer, the mayor or any designee of the city council pursuant to this resolution, or a subsequent resolution; and

**WHEREAS** the governmental entity will cause to be filed a certified copy of said resolution with the Office of the State Treasurer; and

**WHEREAS** the city council and every designee appointed by the governing body with authority to contribute or withdraw funds of the governmental entity has received and read a copy of the prospectus and understands the risks and limitations of investing in the LGIP; and

**WHEREAS**, the city council attests by the signature of its members that it is duly authorized and empowered to enter into this agreement, to direct the contribution or withdrawal of governmental entity monies, and to delegate certain authority to make adjustments to the incorporated transactional forms, to the individuals designated herein.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the city council does hereby authorize the contribution and withdrawal of governmental entity monies in the LGIP in the manner prescribed by law, rule, and prospectus.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the city council has approved the Local Government Investment Pool Authorization Form (Form) as completed by Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer, and incorporates said form into this resolution by reference and does hereby attest to its accuracy.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the governmental entity designates the Chief Administrative Officer, the “authorized individual” to authorize all amendments, changes, or alterations to the Form or any other documentation including the designation of other individuals to make contributions and withdrawals on behalf of the governmental entity.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this delegation ends upon the written notice, by majority vote, of the city council that the authorized individual has been terminated or that his or her delegation has been revoked. The Office of the State Treasurer will rely solely on the governing body to provide notice of such revocation and is entitled to rely on the authorized individual’s instructions until such time as said notice has been provided.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Form as incorporated into this resolution or hereafter amended by delegated authority, or any other documentation signed or otherwise approved by the authorized individual shall remain in effect after revocation of the authorized individual’s delegated authority, except to the extent that the authorized individual whose delegation has been terminated shall not be permitted to make further withdrawals or contributions to the LGIP on behalf of the governmental entity. No amendments, changes, or alterations shall be made to the Form or any other documentation until the entity passes a new resolution naming a new authorized individual; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the governing body acknowledges that it has received, read, and understood the prospectus as provided by the Office of the State Treasurer. In addition, the governing body agrees that a copy of the prospectus will be provided to any person delegated or otherwise authorized to make contributions or withdrawals into or out of the LGIP and that said individuals will be required to read the prospectus prior to making any withdrawals or contributions or any further withdrawals or contributions if authorizations are already in place.

**PASSED IN REGULAR SESSION THIS \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 2026**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor, Jeremy Tennison

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Taylor Chambers, Chief Administrative Officer

Approved as to Form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dan Curtis, City Attorney

## **Half Voucher Review**

### **Discussion in Favor by Councilor Blakeley**

As City Councilors, one of our responsibilities is to review the half-vouchers in preparation for our regular meetings. While this may seem like a routine task, it is an important part of our role. When we vote to approve the vouchers, we're effectively signing off on every dollar the City is about to spend, or has spent between meetings.

The question I'd like to address is how we can carry out our responsibility in a more efficient and practical way. While the reports we receive in our packets are clear and concise, they don't provide an opportunity for questions in real time, which is often necessary to fully understand the day-to-day and evolving expenses of running a city. That kind of understanding is best gained through direct conversation.

At the same time, I don't believe it's realistic for councilors to meet individually with the CAO, as that would place a significant demand on her time, and coordinating a single meeting with a quorum of the Council outside of a regular meeting could raise OPMA related concerns.

### **Proposed Approach**

The Council would designate one member, on a rotating three-month basis, to meet with the CAO prior to each meeting to review the vouchers that will be presented for approval. A quarterly rotation helps keep all councilors engaged in the process while minimizing the disruption caused by the change, and keeps the process running smoothly.

This process would not limit any councilor's ability to ask questions before or during the meeting. It's intent is to streamline the review process while maintaining appropriate Council oversight.

Then, when the motion is made and seconded to approve the vouchers, the designated member would briefly state something along the lines of:

"I have reviewed the vouchers being presented, and everything appears to be in good and proper order."

This would be followed by the Chair's standard request for any questions, and then the vote.

This approach is similar to what I have used with other organizations. It's simple, efficient, and demonstrates that we are exercising appropriate due diligence before taking action.

If the Council is open to adopting this as a rule of procedure, I would be happy to serve in this role initially. My goal would be to help establish a process that is both thorough and respectful of the Council's and CAO's time and workload.

**Item For Discussion and Approval:  
Public Comment Period Before Action Items**

As our appointed task is to serve the community while ensuring that we remain focused on what is best for the city as a whole. I am proposing the following change to how we address action items on this and future agendas. The following statement would be read prior to addressing an action item, but before a motion has been made and seconded.

*We will now accept public comment on this action item. Please limit your remarks to this item alone. There will be an opportunity to address other topics later in the meeting.*

*Public comment is an opportunity for the council to hear from you. It is not a question-and-answer period, and the Council will generally not respond during this time. However, council members may ask clarifying questions if needed.*

*Please limit your comments to three minutes so everyone has an opportunity to be heard.*

**Discussion in Favor of This Change by Councilor Blakeley**

When community members only opportunity to comment on action items is at the beginning of a meeting, the Council's attention is often diverted by subsequent agenda items, such as public hearings, reports, and other business, which can be lengthy. As a result, those earlier comments may no longer be fresh in Council members minds, and important nuances can be lost by the time the action item is considered.

Allowing public comment immediately before each action item ensures that their input is timely, relevant, and a part of the Council's deliberation. It demonstrates to the community that their perspectives are being heard at the time decisions are being made.

While this approach is not required under Washington State law, it is consistent with how other city councils conduct their meetings. The specifics of which can be further guided by our CAO, who can provide additional insight and recommendations.

This approach promotes transparency and increases community involvement in the process. Following the above templet ensures that the Council receives public input without turning the comment period into an unmanaged discussion or debate. It protects equal access, while preventing extended exchanges between individual speakers and council members.

Overall, this approach strikes a balance between ensuring the public being heard and maintaining an orderly, focused meeting.