



City of
NORTH BONNEVILLE

P.O. Box 7

North Bonneville, WA 98639

(509)427-8182

**SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE
COMMUNITY VISION
WORK GROUP MEETING FEB. 7, 2012**

Attending: City Staff -
Tom Jermann, Jennifer Lindsay
City Planning Commission -
Greg Hartnell, Robert Bianchi, Ronald Winter, Joana Fry, Sharon Runkles
City Council -
Jennifer Stratton-Pies
Port of Skamania County -
John McSherry
Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife -
George Fornes
Local citizens and property owners -
Teresa Deras, Ted Salka, Quincy Anderson, Jake Meyer

Key Issues/Concerns Discussed

Concerns over the update process

- That the state has a master plan that really won't regard what we pass locally.
Agency and staff input was given that the Department of Ecology, the regulating state agency, does want local input and a plan that reflects local needs, etc. It was also discussed that abandoning the update process locally would guarantee that new regulations, etc. would come from the state without our input. Staff also explained that we are working on "draft documents" and that there will be many opportunities to have input throughout the process.
- Why do we have to regulate more?
It was discussed again at this meeting that the Shoreline Master Program had not been updated in over 30 years and that it needed to be amended to take into account new local and state regulations that have been passed since it was adopted. This would also provide updates for the new uses, changes and vision for the shorelines that have not been done for years. North Bonneville was relocated after the existing regulations were adopted which makes updating even more important.

Overlapping of permits, regulations, fees and timing (critical lands, shoreline, SEPA, etc.)

- High costs because of overlapping requirements, regulations, and time delays.
- Different rules, etc. for same proposal.
It was brought up and discussed why permits couldn't be more "one stop" with fees, times, etc. combined and made easier and less expensive. Staff pointed out the problems of different rules, permits, timing, etc. and that some are state and local requirements that probably can't be combined or eliminated. The Shoreline Permit and hydraulic permits have less local control and require more state oversight and time delays than most permits. State law mandates many of the rules and any revision that could potentially streamline the process would require changing the state laws.



Protection of Private Property Rights

- Need to protect landowners right to use their property.
Staff pointed out that public access means access on public, not private, lands. Concerns were also expressed that this update should not take away any more rights than existing regulations and property owners should have a right to “use” their property.
- What about existing and allowed uses along shorelines?
There was discussion on the protection of rights in relation to existing and allowed uses within the 200’ designated shorelines and within the existing 50’ shoreline setbacks. Any update should protect these rights.

Public access – Boat launch, beaches, fishing, pier, paths, viewpoints, etc.

- Any access should be tied to the requirements needed for the access such as:
 1. Parking
 2. Sanitation
 3. Garbage
 4. Signage
 5. Fees

Restoration plans

- Restoration and mitigation plans should also be applied if possible to proposed restoration done not necessarily on site (i.e. other locations in the reach; other reaches and other restoration opportunities within the jurisdiction and outside the jurisdiction; not owned by proponent). Big picture versus small. This would allow for more restoration opportunities were on site restoration is not feasible or off site would be better.
-



North Bonneville Planning Commission
Meeting Minutes of December 6, 2011

Chair Greg Hartnell called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

Roll Call:

- Present: Joana Fry, Bob Bianchi, Greg Hartnell, Sharon Runkles, and Ron Winter
- Absent:
- Staff: Tom Jermann and John Spencer
- Council: Jennifer Stratton-Pies
- Guest: 18 including HDR Staff (Corrinne Atkinson, Rona Spellecacy and Mike Witter),

Public Comment:

None.

Approval of Minutes:

- Joana Fry Moved to approve the minutes for November 1, 2011, 2nd by Bob Bianchi. Approved and signed by Chair Greg Hartnell.

Staff Report:

- None. Tom's been busy with the SMP!

Council Report:

- Jennifer Stratton-Pies reported on that the property tax increase and utility tax increase both passed. Livestock ordinance was passed without a fee.

Commission Report:

- No comments from Ron Winter or John Spencer.

Shoreline Master Program Workgroup Meeting:

Tom and Corrinne Atkinson of HDR provided an overview of the status of the SMP and where the city is in the timeline. They discussed what is available on the city's website, discussed HDR's role as consultant, provided background on the SMP, and provided some background on the process moving forward. They urged public to provide input.

A brief Q&A followed. Concerns included:

- HDR's status,
- The impact of the SMP on private lands and land-owners property rights,
- Future permitting processes (the possibility of consolidated Critical Lands with Shoreline Permits),
- The value of citizen input and their role in the process

Rona Spellecacy of HDR presented the current draft shoreline characterization report. Greg Hartnell urged citizens to send questions, comments and suggestions to Tom Jermann.

Mike Witter asked for input on each Reach regarding what areas have special needs and/or what development might be expected in various areas.

- Josi Lambson suggested that no additional public access is needed to the lake (Concurred by Bev Charlton).
- A resident suggested no access should be made to the lake off city roads.
- Diana Hamilton asked about public accesses to Greenleaf Lake (via city lot S66 and via the boat launch), suggesting S66 might be a good location for access.
- Paul Lambson suggested that any public access should include parking facilities
- Joana Fry asked how changes can be made to the document in the future (Corrinne responded that any changes would need to be certified by the state).
- Greg Hartnell asked that a working document be posted on the web site ASAP regarding questions and answers discussed this evening.
- Greg Hartnell pointed out that Initial Comments need to be made by December 15th; he urged residents to send emails to Tom ASAP and to talk to their neighbors about the issues discussed this evening. Discussion ensued regarding the process for resolving conflicting comments from residents. The SMP working group (this meeting) will handle such issues.
- Sharon Runkles pointed out that there seems to be some conflict within the group regarding the desire for future public access to the lake. She suggested the document needs to be drafted in a way that could provide home-owner privacy while allowing public access. Diane Hamilton pointed out that limiting future access to a fishing dock would address this issue.
- Quincy Anderson asked about the process for identifying development regulations.
- John McSherry pointed out that the Port Property should be ID'd as public property, not private. He also asked for a map that overlays zoning with the shoreline reaches. He also asked that the city be sure to include water diversion structures (such as for habitat restoration) in the plan.
- Greg Hartnell pointed out that we will be responding to many of these issues at the next meeting.
- Quincy Anderson asked if there is any coordination on these issues on a national level. Corinne responded that Washington State is the only state they are aware of with a requirement for Shoreline Programs.
- Paul Lambson asked what the current set-backs are – Tom responded that it's 50 feet of the ordinary high water mark for most issues.
- Emad Mancy asked how the ordinary high water mark is defined – it's identified by the applicant for a permit.
- John McSherry asked if shorelines and critical lands will be combined. Tom responded that if we would like to incorporate critical lands into the shoreline plan.
- Greg Hartnell pointed out that the maps are available for review at city hall.

The Work Group Meeting was closed at 7:05 PM.

**SHORELINE MASTER PLAN UPDATE
WORKING GROUP MEETING SEPTEMBER 20, 2011**

Meeting Opened at 7:00 p.m.

Present

City Staff – Tom Jermann, Jennifer McDonald
HDR Engineering – Rona Spellecacy, Mike Witter
Planning Commission – Greg Hartnell, Joana Fry, Bob Bianchi, Ron Winter
Public – Jake Meyer

Overview & Introduction

Staff gave overview of SMP process, schedule and roll of working group. The public input process was discussed.

HDR staff was introduced and gave overview of their supporting role in the process.

Draft Shoreline Jurisdiction Map

HDR presented the draft jurisdiction maps which included all shoreline jurisdictions and ownerships. The maps utilized numerous data sources from federal, state and local agencies including wetlands, water bodies, floodways, parcel data, land use and zoning information. They must include the city's shoreline jurisdiction according to (RCW 90.58.030(2)(f)).

The group reviewed the maps and proposed changes to the map that would better reflect existing wetland conditions on Greenleaf Lake, Carpenter Creek and revised information on floodways/floodplains around the Pierce Wildlife, Golf Course and Bonneville Dam areas. Existing public access on water bodies was also discussed.

HDR would revise the maps to reflect the changes. The draft maps are due to Ecology by September 30. The maps and mapbook will be used to develop the shoreline inventory and characterization report which is the next step in the SMP.

Next Meeting and Task

The next meeting was set for December 6, 2011. Work on a shoreline inventory and characterization report will be worked on before and reviewed at the next meeting. The draft report is due to Ecology by December 30, 2011.

Meeting Adjourned

Meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.